



How vertical swimming behaviour affects jellyfish journey?

Evelien Dekker*, Valérie Dulière** and Geneviève Lacroix**

*Universiteit Utrecht, Msc Marine Sciences, Msc Meteorology, Physical Oceanography & Climate, Netherlands

**Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences (RBINS), Operational Directorate Natural Environment, Belgium



Introduction

Most jellyfish have both a benthic (polyp) and a pelagic phase. In order to predict when and where massive jellyfish swarms [1] could develop, a better understanding about their polyp stage is required. Currently, the natural habitat of most polyps is unknown [2]. A drift model could be a tool helping to find polyp locations in the southern North Sea. The drift of jellyfish is strongly impacted by their vertical position in the water column.

➢ We must first know what the effect of vertical swimming behaviour is on the jellyfish drift trajectories.

Objectives

- Parametrize jellyfish swimming behaviour, based on literature.
- Test the sensitivity of the modeled jellyfish trajectories to the designed swimming behaviours.

4 swimming behaviour scenarios

- Jellyfish might perform complex swimming behaviours to increase their survival, to search for prey, to prevent stranding and enhance bloom maintenance [3].
- Jellyfish are often observed to perform vertical excursions in the water column and sometimes tend to avoid highly turbulent regions in the surface water.

➢ The 4 scenarios to represent jellyfish motion are defined by combining 2 swim direction types and 2 top limit types (Table 1)

Table 1: Overview of the designed swimming behaviour scenarios

	Fixed top limit 1 m below the sea surface	Avoid turbulence 0.6 significant wave height below the sea surface
Uniform	Scenario 1	Scenario 2
Random each t	Scenario 3	Scenario 4

Lower limit 1 meter above seafloor

Jellyfish swim up and down with velocity w_{swim} between a top limit and a bottom limit

Compute w_{swim} magnitude and direction each time step

$$w_{swim} = w_{mean} \pm \{w_{std}\}_{random}$$

1.1 m/min + 0-0.5 m/min

Simulation set-up

- The numerical model [4], based on the Lagrangian particle approach, was adapted to compute the drift of jellyfish [5] as a function of water currents, tides and waves.
- In 4 different behaviour scenarios (table 1), 250 jellyfish are released from Katwijk to calculate the trajectories 18 days backward in time.
- 1 test case with no swimming behaviour, where a jellyfish is continuously thriving at the surface.

Initial conditions

- Time and location of stranded *Aurelia aurita* were used as initial conditions.
- This data was provided by Stichting Anemoon: an organization which systematically collected data on stranded flora and fauna on the Dutch coast since 1968.

Results




Fig 1: Estimated jellyfish trajectories: 18 days backtrack starting 25-05-2013 in Katwijk (red point). The black points represent the final position after 18 days. Dark blue: no-behaviour jellyfish, the other colours correspond to 250 trajectories following the swimming behaviour scenarios as in table 1.

- Including swimming behaviour reduced the no-behaviour travel distance of 906 km by $\pm 25\%$ [scenario 1 & 2] and up to $\pm 50\%$ [scenario 3 & 4].

- Scenarios including random swimming direction spread more and travelled less far (fig 1, 2) compared to a uniform direction scenario.

- The difference between the two top limit types was not clear for the period considered.




Fig 2: Boxplots of horizontal cumulative travel distance per behaviour scenario.

Conclusions & Perspective

- The OSERIT model has been expanded with 4 swimming behaviour scenarios to simulate the drift of jellyfish.
- The estimation of the *Aurelia aurita* trajectories was very sensitive to including vertical swimming behaviour or not. The jellyfish travel up to 50% shorter distances when including behaviour.
- When a drift model is used to estimate polyp locations, vertical swimming behaviour must be taken into account and longer simulation periods are necessary.
- This tool could be used to calculate the connectivity of observed polyp habitats in the southern North sea and stranding events on both the Belgian and Dutch coast.

References:

- [1] Purcell, J. E., Uye, S.-I., and Lo, W.-T. (2007). Anthropogenic causes of jellyfish blooms and their direct consequences for humans: a review. *MEPS* 350:153
- [2] van Walraven, L., Langenberg, V. T., Dapper, R., Witte, J. I., Zuur, A. F., and van der Veer, H. W. (2015). Long-term patterns in 50 years of scyphomedusae catches in the western Dutch Wadden Sea in relation to climate change and eutrophication. *J. Plankton Res.* 37(1): 151-167
- [3] Fossette, S., Gleits, A. C., Chalumeau, J., Bastian, T., Armstrong, C. D., Vandenabeele, S., Karpychev, M., and Hays, G. C. (2015). Current-oriented swimming by jellyfish and its role in bloom maintenance. *Current Biology*, 25(3): 342-347.
- [4] Dulière, V., F. Ovidio and S. Legrand (2012) Development of an Integrated Software for Forecasting the Impacts of Accidental Oil Pollution: OSERIT. Final Report. Brussels: Belgian Science Policy, 68 pp. (Research Programme Science for a Sustainable Development)
- [5] Dulière, V., Kerckhof, F., and Lacroix, G. (2014). Where is my jelly? *De strandvloer*, 34(2):50-55

Acknowledgements: All volunteers who contributed to the Strand Monitor Project of Stichting ANEMOON. Adriaan G. Meyling, for providing data on jellyfish observations. Lodewijk van Walraven (NIOZ), Katja Phillipart (NIOZ) and Francis Kerckhof (RBINS) for making it possible.